## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## **FILED**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**DEC 12 2005** 

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

JOSE MILANES-SANCHEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 04-30420

D.C. No. CR-04-00044-WFN

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington Wm. Fremming Nielsen, Senior Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 5, 2005 \*\*

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Jose Milanes-Sanchez appeals the sentence imposed following his guilty plea to unlawfully entering the United States after having been previously deported in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Milanes-Sanchez's Sixth Amendment challenge to his sentencing enhancement under U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2(b) remains foreclosed after *United States v. Booker*, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005). *See United States v. Moreno-Hernandez*, 419 F.3d 906, 914 n.8 (9th Cir. 2005) (explaining that a district judge's enhancement of a sentence, based on the fact of a prior conviction under U.S.S.G. § 2L1.2, does not raise any Sixth Amendment problems).

Because Milanes-Sanchez was sentenced under the then-mandatory

Sentencing Guidelines, and we cannot reliably determine from the record whether
the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court
known that the Guidelines were advisory, we remand to the district court to answer
that question, and to proceed pursuant to *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073,
1084 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc). *See Moreno-Hernandez*, 419 F.3d at 916
(extending *Ameline*'s limited remand procedure to cases involving nonconstitutional *Booker* error).

## REMANDED.